

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT

AND

MAINE WASTE DISCHARGE LICENSE

FACT SHEET

**Prepared Jointly by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection and
the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – New England Office**

Date: August 19, 2008

PERMIT NUMBER: ME0102148

LICENSE NUMBER: W008131-5L-E-R

NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT:

**CITY OF EASTPORT
78 High Street
Eastport, Maine 04631**

COUNTY: Washington County

NAME AND ADDRESS WHERE DISCHARGE OCCURS:

**Quoddy Village Waste Water Treatment Facility
Vanasse Road
Eastport, Maine 04631**

RECEIVING WATER/CLASSIFICATION:

**Passamaquoddy Bay (Western Passage)
Class SB**

COGNIZANT OFFICIAL AND TELEPHONE NUMBER:

**Mr. James Barnes
Chief Operator
(207) 853-2332**

1. APPLICATION SUMMARY

- a. Application - The City has applied for renewal of a combined Section 301(h) Modified National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit #ME0102148 and Maine Waste Discharge License (WDL) #W008131-5L-C-R, that was issued on August 13, 2002 and expired on August 13, 2007. The permit/license (permit hereinafter) approved the discharge of up to monthly average flow of 0.05 million gallons per day (MGD) of primary treated sanitary waste water to Passamaquoddy Bay, Class SB, in Eastport, Maine See Attachment A of this Fact Sheet for a location map.

1. APPLICATION SUMMARY

- b. Source Description: Sanitary waste waters received at the treatment facility are generated by residences and commercial entities in the Quoddy Village area of the City of Eastport. The waste water collection system in Quoddy consists of 14,400 linear feet of force main and gravity sewers with no combined sewer overflow (CSO) points in the system. Each household's waste water flows to the sewer system via City owned and maintained septic tanks. Two households require septic tank effluent pump stations, which collect effluent from City owned and maintained septic tanks at individual homes. The collection system includes only new sewers that have passed leakage tests. There are approximately 105 residential connections to the treatment facilities. The facility does not receive any flows from industrial sources.
- c. Waste Water Treatment: The facility provides a primary level of treatment by individual on-lot septic tanks. The collection system network conveys the effluent from each residence to a treatment plant which consists of an influent pump station, two chemical addition manholes, a storage tank, and effluent pump station, effluent flow metering, and a sampling manhole. The treated effluent is discharged to Passamaquoddy Bay during high tide periods.

Septic tank effluent flows, by gravity to the influent pump station. The waste water is then pumped through the first chemical addition manhole where chlorine, in the form of sodium hypochlorite, is added and is then discharged to a 38,000 gallon storage tank. The waste water is pumped from the storage tank during high tide periods, through the access port for the second chemical addition manhole where sodium bisulfite is added to dechlorinate the waste water, and discharged by means of a gravity sewer outfall. The effluent pumps are controlled by a float switch in the outfall manhole. See Attachment B of this Fact Sheet for a schematic of the waste water treatment processes.

2. PERMIT SUMMARY

- a. Regulatory - On January 12, 2001, the State of Maine received authorization from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to administer the NPDES program in Maine. Section 301(h) of the Clean Water Act provides a vehicle by which a permittee may request a variance from secondary treatment requirements. Issuance of a permit granting such a variance may only be issued by the EPA as authorization to do so was not granted to the State of Maine on January 12, 2001. See section 2(c) of this Fact Sheet. In addition, pursuant to Maine law, anyone discharging pollutants to waters of the State must obtain a license to do so. Therefore, this document serves as a combination modified NPDES permit and a Maine WDL to satisfy both federal and State requirements. The EPA has authorized the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (Department) to take the lead role in drafting the permit/license.
- b. Terms and conditions - This permitting action is similar to the previous permitting action in that it carries forward;

This permitting action is similar to the previous permitting action in that it carries forward;

1. The monthly average flow limitation of 0.05 MGD.
2. The monthly average technology based requirements to achieve a minimum of 30% removal of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and a minimum of 50% removal for total suspended solids (TSS).
3. The monthly average technology based mass limitations for BOD and TSS.
4. The monthly average technology based concentration limits for BOD and TSS.
5. The daily maximum concentration reporting requirement for settleable solids.
6. The year-round monthly average (geometric mean) and daily maximum water quality based concentration limits of 15 colonies/100 ml and 50 colonies/100 ml for fecal coliform bacteria.
7. The daily maximum technology based concentration limit of 1.0 mg/L for total residual chlorine.
8. The technology based pH range limitation of 6.0 -9.0 standard units but reducing the monitoring frequency from 1/Day to 1/Week.

This permitting action is different than the previous permitting action in that it is;

9. Eliminating the monthly average concentration reporting requirement for settleable solids and reducing the monitoring frequency to 1/Week.

c. History: The most recent permitting/licensing actions include the following:

March 24, 1982 – The Department issued WDL #2598 authorizing the discharge of untreated municipal wastewater to Passamaquoddy Bay until a waste water treatment facility was constructed.

May 9, 1985 – The EPA approved the City of Eastport's variance request from secondary treatment requirements.

December 18, 1985 – The Department issued a section 401 (of the Clean Water Act) water quality certification of the EPA public notice draft NPDES permit #ME0100200 for the discharge from the yet to be constructed waste water treatment facility.

December 31, 1985 – The EPA issued NPDES permit #ME0100200 for five-year term.

April 6, 1987 – The Department issued WDL renewal # W002598-45-A-R authorizing the discharge of 0.34 MGD of primary treated waste water from the City's main waste water treatment facility and 0.05 MGD of primary treated waste water from the Quoddy Village waste water treatment facility.

August 26, 1988 – The EPA issued a modification of the 12/31/85 NPDES permit. The modification increased the permit flow limit for the main plant from 0.34 MGD to 0.82 MGD and authorized the discharged of untreated waste water from new CSO outfalls #027 - #030.

May 1992 – The Quoddy Village waste water treatment facility became operational.

June 11, 1992 – The City of Eastport submitted an application to the EPA to renew NPDES permit #ME0100200 for the Quoddy Village discharge.

November 1, 1995 – The Department issued WDL renewal #W002598-46-B-R for a five-year term. The WDL authorized the discharge of primary treated municipal waste water from both the main plant (0.82 MGD) and the Quoddy Village plant (0.05 MGD) to Passamaquoddy Bay.

March 26, 1999 – The Department unilaterally modified the disinfection system for the Quoddy Village facility based on a requested by the Maine DMR dated

March 23, 1999. The seasonal disinfection season (May 10 – September 30) was modified to year-round.

January 12, 2001 – The Department received authorization from the EPA to administer the NPDES program in Maine. Because the permit was being issued under a variance from secondary treatment requirements under the CWA, the modified 301(h) NPDES permit must be issued by EPA.

August 13, 2002 – The Department and EPA issued a combined WDL and NPDES permit (#W008131-5L-C-R and ME0102148) authorizing the discharge of up to 0.05 MGD of primary treated waste water from the permittee's facility for a five-year term. It is noted the permitting of the main plant and the Quoddy Village facility were separated at this point in time. The main plant maintained the original NPDES number of #ME0100200 and State WDL of #W002598 and the Quoddy Village facility was assigned a new NPDES number, #ME0102148 and WDL #W008131.

August 21, 2007 – The City of Eastport submitted an application to the Department and EPA for renewal of the August 13, 2002 license/permit for the Quoddy Village facility. The Department accepted the application for processing on September 4, 2007.

3. CONDITIONS OF PERMITS

Maine law, 38 M.R.S.A. Section 414-A, requires that the effluent limitations prescribed for discharges, including, but not limited to, effluent toxicity, require application of best practicable treatment (BPT), be consistent with the U.S. Clean Water Act, and ensure that the receiving waters attain the State water quality standards as described in Maine's Surface Water Classification System. In addition, 38 M.R.S.A., Section 420 and Department rule 06-096 CMR Chapter 530, *Surface Water Toxics Control Program*, require the regulation of toxic substances not to exceed levels set forth in Department rule 06-096 CMR Chapter 584, *Surface Water Quality Criteria for Toxic Pollutants*, and that ensure safe levels for the discharge of toxic pollutants such that existing and designated uses of surface waters are maintained and protected.

4. RECEIVING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Maine law, 38 M.R.S.A., Section 469 classifies the receiving waters at the point of discharge as Class SB waters. Maine law, 38 M.R.S.A., Section 465-B(2) contains the classification standards for Class SB waters.

Federal regulation 40 CFR, Part 125, Subpart G, more specifically Part 125.57(a)(2), states that discharge of pollutants in accordance with such modified requirements [301(h)] will not interfere, alone or in combination with pollutants from other sources, with the attainment or maintenance of that water quality which assures protection of public water supplies and protection and propagation of a balanced indigenous population of shellfish, fish, and wildlife, and allows recreational activities in and on the water.

5. RECEIVING WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

The State of Maine 2006 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report, prepared by the Department pursuant to Sections 303(d) and 305(b) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, indicates that the Maine Department of Marine Resources (DMR) shellfish Area #59(C), Kendall Head - Eastport, is closed to the harvesting of shellfish. See Attachment C of this Fact Sheet for the delineation of Area #59. The DMR has traditionally closed shellfish harvesting areas in the vicinity of outfall pipes when lack of field data on bacteria counts in the immediate area is insufficient, inconclusive or exceeds standards set in the National Shellfish Sanitation Program of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. DMR issued the closure notice on February 6, 2007 based on ambient water quality sampling indicated elevated levels of bacteria. Compliance with the monthly average and daily maximum limitations for fecal coliform bacteria will ensure the Eastport facility will not cause or contribute to the closure of the shellfish harvesting area.

In the summer of 1995, the DEP and the EPA conducted a portion of the Biological Monitoring requirements (TVS sampling) and Water Quality Monitoring contained in the previous State waste discharge license and federal NPDES permit at certain 301(h) facilities. The DEP and EPA agreed that the SCUBA inspection was too dangerous as a result of the swift current in the receiving waters. The Department has made the determination that, based on the sampling to date and past effluent monitoring data, the discharge complies with 40 CFR, §125.57(a)(2). According to a document entitled “301(h) Facilities in Maine, Report of 1995 Monitoring Activities,” prepared by the Department, dated July 1996 and submitted to EPA, “Water quality, sediment, and photographic information indicates that these [301(h)-type] discharges are not causing any significant impact to the receiving waters”. That document concluded that no further ambient monitoring be conducted, and recommended that effluent monitoring be continued. By letter dated February 17, 1995 from EPA Regional Administrator, the EPA found there would be little risk of adverse impacts to the receiving waters from these discharges provided that the permittee perform effluent monitoring as part of the regular permit conditions.

6. WAIVER OF SECONDARY TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

Under Section 301(b)(1)(B) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) in existence on July 1, 1977 were required to meet effluent limitations based on secondary treatment, which is defined in terms of the parameters BOD, TSS and pH. National effluent limitations for these pollutants were promulgated and included in POTW permits issued under Section 402 of the CWA.

Congress subsequently amended the CWA, adding Section 301(h), which authorizes the EPA Administrator, with State concurrence, to issue NPDES permits which modify the secondary treatment requirements with respect to the discharge of pollutants from a POTW into marine waters, provided that the applicant meet several conditions.

EPA issued a 301(h) waiver to the City of Eastport on May 9, 1985 based upon the following findings:

- That the discharge will comply with the State of Maine water quality standards for dissolved oxygen and suspended solids.
- That the proposed discharge will not adversely impact public water supplies or interfere with the protection and propagation of a balanced indigenous population of marine life and will allow for recreational activities.
- That no industrial wastes are discharged into the collection system.
- That the discharge will not result in additional treatment requirements on other point and non-point sources.
- That the State of Maine concurs with the approval of the 301(h) waiver.

Federal regulation 40 CFR, Part 125, Subpart G, more specifically Part 125.57(a)(3), states that the applicant must establish a system for monitoring the impact of such discharge on a representative sample of aquatic biota, to the extent practicable, and the scope of such monitoring is limited to include only those scientific investigations which are necessary to

study the effects of the proposed discharge. EPA has made a BPJ determination that the scope of effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in Special Condition A(1) of this permit are sufficient to provide the necessary information to study the effects of the discharge on the receiving waters.

Because all of the prior 301(h) conditions have been maintained and because there has been no new or substantially increased discharge from the permittee's facility, EPA proposes, through the reissuance of the City of Eastport's permit, to carry forward the original 301(h) waiver decision.

7. ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT

Purpose: Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires federal agencies to ensure, in consultation with the Services, that actions an agency authorizes, funds or carries out are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed endangered and threatened species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of listed species' designated critical habitat. EPA believes that Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act applies when EPA carries out actions approving State or Tribal water quality standards and NPDES permitting programs under the CWA.

ESA Designation: On November 17, 2000, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service listed wild Atlantic Salmon in eight Maine rivers as endangered. Those eight rivers are the Dennys, East Machias, Machias, Pleasant, Narraguagus, Ducktrap, and Sheepscot Rives and Cove Brook. Renewal of the BVC's NPDES permit would allow the continuation of the discharge of primary treated wastewaters to the coastal waters of Linekin Bay approximately eight miles from the Sheepscot River estuary.

ESA Determination: Because of the low flow volume of the discharge and because the waste waters are not known to contain pollutants at concentrations which could be toxic to aquatic life, and because the discharge is not released directly to a Maine DPS Atlantic Salmon River, EPA has determined that the action of renewal of the existing NPDES permit for the discharge of treated domestic waste water is not likely to adversely affect listed species or their critical habitat under NMFS jurisdiction.

8. EFH (ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT) DETERMINATION

Under the 1996 Amendments (PL 104-267) to the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. § 1801 et seq. (1998)), EPA is required to consult with the National Marine Fisheries Services (NMFS) if EPA's action or proposed actions that it funds, permits, or undertakes, "may adversely impact any essential fish habitat." 16 U.S.C. § 1855(b). The Amendments broadly define "essential fish habitat" as: "waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity. 16 U.S.C. § 1802 (10). Adversely impact means any impact which reduces the quality and/or quantity of EFH. 50 C.F.R. § 600.910 (a). Adverse effects may include direct (e.g., contamination or physical disruption), indirect (e.g., loss of prey, reduction in species' fecundity), site-specific or habitat-wide impacts, including individual, cumulative, or synergistic consequences of actions. Essential fish habitat is only designated for species for which federal fisheries management plans exist. 16 U.S.C. § 1855(b) (1) (A). EFH designations for New England were approved by the U.S. Department of Commerce on March 3, 1999. National Marine Fisheries Service designation of Essential Fish Habitat for the 10 minute square that includes the Eastport (Quoddy plant) discharge (N44° 56' 43", W67° 01' 52")

10' x 10' Square Coordinates:

North	East	South	West
45° 00.0' N	67° 00.0' W	44° 50.0' N	67° 10.0' W

Square Description (i.e. habitat, landmarks, coastline markers): Waters within the square within Passamaquoddy Bay from Lubec, ME., to Eastport, ME., including Woodward Point, the southeast corner of Moose Island, Treat Island, Estes Head, Dudley Island, Burial Island, and the Friar Roads. These waters extend strictly to the Hague Line (EEZ boundary) within this square.

Species and Life Stage Designation

Species	Eggs	Larvae	Juveniles	Adults
Atlantic Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)			X	X
Atlantic cod (<i>Gadus morhua</i>)		X	X	S
haddock (<i>Melanogrammus aeglefinus</i>)				
pollock (<i>Pollachius virens</i>)		X	X	X
whiting (<i>Merluccius bilinearis</i>)			X	X
offshore hake (<i>Merluccius albidus</i>)				
red hake (<i>Urophycis chuss</i>)			X	X
white hake (<i>Urophycis tenuis</i>)			X	X
redfish (<i>Sebastes fasciatus</i>)	n/a			
witch flounder (<i>Glyptocephalus cynoglossus</i>)				
winter flounder (<i>Pleuronectes americanus</i>)	X	X	X	X

8. EFH (ESSENTIAL FISH HABITAT) DETERMINATION

Species and Life Stage Designation

yellowtail flounder (<i>Pleuronectes ferruginea</i>)	X	X		
windowpane flounder (<i>Scophthalmus aquosus</i>)	X	X	X	X
American plaice (<i>Hippoglossoides platessoides</i>)	X	X	X	X
ocean pout (<i>Macrozoarces americanus</i>)	X	X	X	X
Atlantic halibut (<i>Hippoglossus hippoglossus</i>)	X	X	X	X
Atlantic sea scallop (<i>Placopecten magellanicus</i>)	X	X	X	X
Atlantic sea herring (<i>Clupea harengus</i>)		X	X	X
monkfish (<i>Lophius americanus</i>)				
bluefish (<i>Pomatomus saltatrix</i>)				
long finned squid (<i>Loligo pealei</i>)	n/a	n/a		
short finned squid (<i>Illex illecebrosus</i>)	n/a	n/a		
Atlantic butterfish (<i>Peprillus triacanthus</i>)				
Atlantic mackerel (<i>Scomber scombrus</i>)			X	X
summer flounder (<i>Paralichthys dentatus</i>)				
scup (<i>Stenotomus chrysops</i>)	n/a	n/a		
black sea bass (<i>Centropristus striata</i>)	n/a			
surf clam (<i>Spisula solidissima</i>)	n/a	n/a		
ocean quahog (<i>Artica islandica</i>)	n/a	n/a		
spiny dogfish (<i>Squalus acanthias</i>)	n/a	n/a		
tilefish (<i>Lopholatilus chamaeleonticeps</i>)				
bluefin tuna (<i>Thunnus thynnus</i>)				

Due to the low volume of the discharge and the lack of toxic potential of the wastewater discharged, EPA believes that renewal of the Eastport permit is unlikely to adversely impact the above-designated Essential Fish Habitat. EPA has, therefore, not requested an EFH consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service in regard to the renewal of this permit

9. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

- a. Flow – The previous permit contained a monthly average flow limitation of 0.05 million gallons per day (MGD). The limitation is being carried forward in this permitting action but is being expressed as 50,000 gallons per day (gpd) rather than MGD. The limit was proposed by the permittee in 1992 when it submitted the application to the EPA for the renewal of the 12/31/85 NPDES permit. Federal regulations found at 40 CFR §122.45(b)(i) require that effluent limitations be calculated based on design flow which is found in the Permit Application. A review of the DMR data for the period April 2005 - March 2007 inclusively, indicates the monthly average flow discharged has ranged from 0.015 MGD (15,000 gpd) to 0.05 MGD (50,000 gpd) with an arithmetic mean of 0.027 MGD (27,000 gpd).
- b. Dilution Factors: Department Regulation Chapter 530 Surface Water Toxics Control Program, §4(a)(2) states:
 - (1) *For estuaries where tidal flow is dominant and marine discharges, dilution factors are calculated as follows. These methods may be supplemented with additional information such as current studies or dye studies.*
 - (a) *For discharges to the ocean, dilution must be calculated as near-field or initial dilution, or that dilution available as the effluent plume rises from the point of discharge to its trapping level, at mean low water level and slack tide for the acute exposure analysis, and at mean tide for the chronic exposure analysis using appropriate models determined by the Department such as MERGE, CORMIX or another predictive model.*
 - (b) *For discharges to estuaries, dilution must be calculated using a method such as MERGE, CORMIX or another predictive model determined by the Department to be appropriate for the site conditions.*
 - (c) *In the case of discharges to estuaries where tidal flow is dominant and marine waters, the human health criteria must be analyzed using a dilution equal to three times the chronic dilution factor.*

Using plan and profile information of the outfall the CORMIX model and taking into consideration the discharge is a tidally timed discharge, the Department has determined the dilution factors for the discharge of 0.05 MGD from the waste water treatment facility are as follows:

Acute = 202:1
606:1⁽¹⁾

Chronic = 202:1

Harmonic mean =

(1) Pursuant to Department rule Chapter 530, “*Surface Water Toxics Control Program*”, §4(2)(c), the harmonic mean dilution factor is approximated by multiplying the chronic dilution factor by a factor of three (3).

- b. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) - Federal regulations state that primary or equivalent treatment means treatment by screening, sedimentation, and skimming adequate to remove at least thirty percent (30%) of the BOD and 30% of the TSS material in the treatment works influent. The Department and EPA consider a thirty percent (30%) removal of BOD and a fifty percent (50%) removal of TSS from the influent loading as a best professional judgment (BPJ) determination of best practicable treatment (BPT) for primary facilities. These percent removal requirements were established in the previous permitting action and are being carried forward in this permitting action as the percent removal is the foundation for the permitting of 301h facilities.

The previous permit established monthly average technology based mass and concentration limits for BOD and TSS with a monitoring frequency of 1/Week. The limitations were calculated based on an assumed influent concentration of 290 mg/L for each parameter and a 30% removal for BOD and a 50% removal for TSS. This assumed value is based on the EPA Design Manual, Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems, dated October 1980, table 4-3 entitled “Characteristics of Typical Residential Wastewater” high range of values for BOD5 and TSS. Derivation of the limits is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{BOD: } & 290 \text{ mg/L} - [(290 \text{ mg/L})(0.30)] = 203 \text{ mg/L} \\ & (203 \text{ mg/L})(8.34)(0.05 \text{ MGD}) = 84 \text{ lbs/day}\end{aligned}$$

A review of the DMR data for the period April 2005 – March 2007 inclusively, indicates the monthly average effluent concentration of BOD discharged has ranged from 99 mg/L to 147 mg/L with an arithmetic mean of 123 mg/L. As for the monthly average mass of BOD discharged, the DMR data indicates the range has been from 10 lbs/day to 147 lbs/day with an arithmetic mean of 123 lbs/day. Monthly percent removal rates for BOD for this time period range from 50% - 67% with an arithmetic mean of 57%.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{TSS: } & 290 \text{ mg/L} - [(290 \text{ mg/L})(0.50)] = 145 \text{ mg/L} \\ & (145 \text{ mg/L})(8.34)(0.05 \text{ MGD}) = 60 \text{ lbs/day}\end{aligned}$$

A review of the DMR data for the period calendar years April 2005 – March 2007 inclusively, indicates the monthly average effluent concentration of TSS discharged has ranged from 5 mg/L to 29 mg/L with an arithmetic mean of 14 mg/L. As for the monthly average mass of TSS discharged, the DMR data indicates the range has been from

1 lbs/day to 11 lbs/day with an arithmetic mean of 4 lbs/day. Monthly percent removal rates for TSS for this time period range from 81% - 98% with an arithmetic mean of 93%.

The technology based mass and concentration limitations and monitoring requirements for BOD & TSS are being carried forward in this permitting action and are based on a BPJ determination by the Department and EPA given the size and type of treatment.

9. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS & MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (cont'd)

- c. Settleable solids – The previous permitting action established monthly average and daily maximum concentration reporting requirements for settleable solids with a 1/Day monitoring frequency. A review of the DMR data for the period April 2005 – March 2007 indicates the monthly average and daily maximum concentrations have been reported as <0.1 ml/L for all 24 months. Based on the historic data results, the Department and EPA are making a BPJ determination to reduce the monitoring frequency to 1/Week to be consistent with the monitoring frequencies for BOD and TSS.
- d. Fecal coliform bacteria – The previous permitting action established monthly average (geometric mean) and daily maximum limits of 15 colonies/100 ml and 50 colonies/100 ml respectively, that are consistent with limitations in the National Shellfish Sanitation Program. The Fact Sheet of the previous permitting action indicated the limitations were in effect on a year-round basis at the request of the Maine Department of Marine Resources (DMR). The numeric limitations are being carried forward in this permitting action along with a monitoring frequency of 1/Week.

A review of the DMR data for the period calendar years April 2005 – March 2007 inclusively indicates the monthly average (geometric mean) fecal coliform bacteria levels discharged have ranged from 1.4 – 4 colonies/100 mL with an arithmetic mean of 4 colonies/100 mL and the daily maximum levels have ranged from <4 – 24 colonies/100 mL with an arithmetic mean of 4 colonies/100 mL.

- e. Total residual chlorine(TRC) – The previous permitting action established a technology based daily maximum limitation of 1.0 mg/L with monitoring frequency of 1/Day. Limits on total residual chlorine are specified to ensure attainment of the in-stream water quality criteria for chlorine and that BPT technology is utilized to abate the discharge of chlorine. Permits issued by this Department impose the more stringent of the calculated water quality based or BPT based limits. The Department has established a daily maximum best practicable treatment (BPT) limitation of 1.0 mg/L for facilities that disinfect their effluent with elemental chlorine or chlorine based compounds unless the calculated acute water quality based threshold is lower than 1.0 mg/L.

For facilities that need to de-chlorinate the discharge to meet water quality based thresholds, the Department has established daily maximum and monthly average best practicable treatment limits of 0.3 mg/L and 0.1 mg/L respectively.

Water quality based thresholds for TRC can be calculated as follows:

Parameter	Acute Criteria	Chronic Criteria	Acute Dilution	Chronic Dilution	Acute Limit	Chronic Limit
Chlorine	0.013 mg/L	0.0075 mg/L	202:1	202:1	2.6 mg/L	1.5 mg/L

Example calculation: Acute – 0.013 mg/L (202) = 2.6 mg/L

Being that the acute water quality based daily maximum threshold calculated on the previous page is less stringent than the BPT limit of 1.0 mg/L, the technology based limit of 1.0 mg/L is being carried forward in this permitting action along with the monitoring frequency of 1/Day.

A review of the DMR data for the period April 2005 – March 2007 indicates the daily maximum TRC discharged has ranged from 0.01 mg/L to 0.03 mg/L with an arithmetic mean of 0.01 mg/L.

- g. pH – The previous permitting action establishing a BPT pH range limit of 6.0 – 9.0 standard units pursuant to Department rule, Chapter 525(3)(III)(c), along with a monitoring frequency of 1/Day. A review of the DMR data for the period April 2005 – March 2007 indicates the pH range limitation has never been exceeded. Therefore, this permitting action is reducing the monitoring frequency 1/Week based on the historical data and compliance record.
- h. Mercury: Pursuant to Maine law, 38 M.R.S.A. §420 and Department rule, 06-096 CMR Chapter 519, *Interim Effluent Limitations and Controls for the Discharge of Mercury*, §1(A)(3), the Department exempted Eastport's Quoddy facility from conducting mercury testing. The exemption was granted as the Main Plant was required to conduct testing and interim limits were established for said facility.
- i. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) & Chemical-Specific Testing: Maine law, 38 M.R.S.A., Sections 414-A and 420, prohibit the discharge of effluents containing substances in amounts that would cause the surface waters of the State to contain toxic substances above levels set forth in Federal Water Quality Criteria as established by the USEPA. Department Rules, 06-096 CMR Chapter 530, *Surface Water Toxics Control Program*, and Chapter 584, *Surface Water Quality Criteria for Toxic Pollutants* set forth ambient water quality criteria (AWQC) for toxic pollutants and procedures necessary to control levels of toxic pollutants in surface waters.

WET, priority pollutant and analytical chemistry testing, as required by Chapter 530, is included in this permit in order to fully characterize the effluent. This permit also provides for reconsideration of effluent limits and monitoring schedules after evaluation of toxicity testing results. The monitoring schedule includes consideration of results currently on file, the nature of the wastewater, existing treatment and receiving water characteristics.

WET monitoring is required to assess and protect against impacts upon water quality and designated uses caused by the aggregate effect of the discharge on specific aquatic organisms. Acute and chronic WET tests are performed on invertebrate and vertebrate species. Priority pollutant and analytical chemistry testing is required to assess the levels of individual toxic pollutants in the discharge, comparing each pollutant to acute, chronic, and human health water quality criteria as established in Chapter 584.

Chapter 530 establishes four categories of testing requirements based predominately on the chronic dilution factor. The categories are as follows:

- 1) Level I – chronic dilution factor of $<20:1$.
- 2) Level II – chronic dilution factor of $\geq 20:1$ but $<100:1$.
- 3) Level III – chronic dilution factor $\geq 100:1$ but $<500:1$ or $>500:1$ and $Q \geq 1.0$ MGD
- 4) Level IV – chronic dilution $>500:1$ and $Q \leq 1.0$ MGD

Department rule Chapter 530 (2)(D) specifies the criteria to be used in determining the minimum monitoring frequency requirements for WET, priority pollutant and analytical chemistry testing. Based on the Chapter 530 criteria, the Eastport facility falls into the Level IV frequency category as the facility has a chronic dilution factor $\geq 100:1$ but $<500:1$ and has a flow limitation of ≤ 1.0 MGD. Chapter 530(2)(D)(1) specifies that surveillance and screening level testing requirements are as follows:

Screening level testing

Level	WET Testing	Priority pollutant testing	Analytical chemistry
IV	1 per year*	1 per year*	4 per year*

Surveillance level testing

Level	WET Testing	Priority pollutant testing	Analytical chemistry
IV	1 per year*	None required *	1 per year*

Chapter 530(2)(D)(1) states that;

**These routine testing requirements for Level IV are waived, except that the Department shall require an individual discharger to conduct testing under the following conditions.*

- (a) The discharger's permit application or information available to the Department indicate that toxic compounds may be present in toxic amounts; or*
- (b) Previous testing conducted by the discharger or similar dischargers indicates that toxic compounds may be present in toxic amounts.*

Additionally, new or substantially changed dischargers assigned to Level IV must conduct testing during the first two years of the discharge. Further testing is waived provided the testing done does not indicate any reasonable potential for exceedence as calculated pursuant to section 3(E).

Pursuant to Department rule Chapter 530, on April 10, 2006, the Department issued a permit modification to the Department waiving all WET, analytical chemistry and priority pollutant testing. This permitting action is carrying forward said waiver.

Chapter 530 (2)(D) states:

- (4) All dischargers having waived or reduced testing must file statements with the Department on or before December 31 of each year describing the following.*
 - (a) Changes in the number or types of non-domestic wastes contributed directly or indirectly to the wastewater treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge;*
 - (b) Changes in the operation of the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge; and*
 - (c) Changes in industrial manufacturing processes contributing wastewater to the treatment works that may increase the toxicity of the discharge.*

Special Condition K, *Chapter 530 (2)(D)(4) Certification*, of this permitting action requires the permittee to file an annual certification with the Department.

It is noted however, that if future WET testing results indicates the discharge exceeds critical water quality thresholds this permit will be reopened pursuant to Special Condition M, *Reopening of Permit For Modification*, of this permit to establish applicable limitations and monitoring requirements and require the permittee to submit a toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) pursuant to Department rule, Chapter 530(3)(c).

10. DISCHARGE IMPACT ON RECEIVING WATERS

As permitted, the Department has determined the existing water uses will be maintained and protected and the discharge will not cause or contribute to failure of the waterbody to meet standards for Class SB classification.

11. PUBLIC COMMENTS, HEARING REQUESTS, and PROCEDURES FOR FINAL DECISIONS

Notice of the application being filed with the Department and EPA for renewal of the permit was placed in the Quoddy Times newspaper on or about August 1, 2007. Notice of the draft permit will be placed in a regional Maine newspaper for a minimum 30-day comment period during which time, written comments may be directed to both the Department and EPA at the addresses given on page 16 of this Fact Sheet. All persons, including applicants, who believe any condition of the Draft Permit is inappropriate must raise all issues and submit all available arguments and all supporting material for their arguments in full by the close of the public comment period. Any person, prior to such date, may submit a request in writing for a public hearing to consider the Draft Permit to EPA and the State Agency. Such requests shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

A public hearing may be held if the criteria stated in 40 C.F.R. § 124.12 are met. In reaching a final decision on the Draft Permit, the EPA will respond to all significant comments and make these responses available to the public at EPA's Boston office.

Following the close of the comment period, and after any public hearings, if such hearings are held, the EPA will issue a Final Permit decision and forward a copy of the final decision to the applicant and each person who has submitted written comments or requested notice. Upon review of the public comments and receipt of Maine DEP Water Quality Certification, EPA will make a final decision whether to issue this permit. Within 30 days following the notice of the Final Permit decision, any interested person may submit a petition for review of the permit to EPA's Environmental Appeals Board consistent with 40 C.F.R. § 124.19.

12. CONTACTS

Additional information concerning this permitting action may be obtained from and written comments should be directed to:

Gregg Wood
Division of Water Quality Management
Bureau of Land & Water Quality
Department of Environmental Protection
17 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0017
Phone: 207-287-7693
Email: gregg.wood@maine.gov

Doug Corb
US EPA Region I
One Congress Street Suite
1100/CMP
Boston, MA 02114
Phone: 617-918-1565
Email: corb.doug@epa.gov